

**COMMENT ON “ATTRACTIVE FORCES BETWEEN
ELECTRONS IN $2 + 1$ DIMENSIONAL QED”**

by

C. R. Hagen

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Rochester

Rochester, NY 14627

It has recently been claimed by Girotti et al.^{1,2,3} that electron–electron bound states are possible in (QED)₃. They have furthermore used their model to perform extensive numerical calculations in order to calculate transition temperatures in high T_c superconductors. In view of the strong suggestion made by these authors that the reasonable results obtained are other than mere accident, it is important to determine whether their proposed model is theoretically sound.

The point to be made in this Comment consists of the observation that the binding term of Girotti et al. is an improper reduction of the (Aharonov–Bohm) potential $[(\ell + \alpha_{in}/2)^2 - \ell^2]/r^2$ to simply $\ell\alpha_{in}/r^2$ at large r . In fact footnote 4 of ref. 1 makes clear that its authors are aware of at least one work which includes the additional α_{in}^2 repulsive term. However, they imply that their approach is defensible because it is “based solely on relativistic quantum field theory”.

The model of ref. 1 in fact consists of a relativistic perturbation theory calculation which is then inserted into a Schrödinger equation. Since the final result thus requires a Galilean limit, it is perfectly sensible to test the model of ref. 1 by taking its limit $\theta_{in} \rightarrow -\infty$ with θ_{in}/e^2 finite. While this eliminates the mass $|\theta_{in}|$ particle from the physical spectrum, it has the advantage of yielding a theory which is exactly soluble as well as fully relativistic (with respect to the Galilei group of space–time transformations) and is thus able to provide an unambiguous test of the proposed model in the indicated limit.

Actually, this problem has been solved some years ago.⁴ It was shown at that time that the Hamiltonian of the field theory is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2m} \int d^2x \, \psi^+ \left[\nabla_i + ig^2 \bar{\nabla}_i \int \mathcal{D}(x-x') \rho(x') dx' \right]^2 \psi$$

where $\bar{\nabla}_i = \epsilon_{ij} \nabla_j$, $g^2 = -e^2/\theta_{in}$, and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is the negative of the inverse Laplacian. The operator ρ is the charge density $\psi^+ \psi$ where $\psi(x)$ satisfies the equal time commutation relation

$$[\psi(x), \psi^+(x')] = \delta(x-x') \quad .$$

For simplicity the spin has been ignored, a feature which can, however, readily be restored.⁵

The eigenvalue equation

$$H|N\rangle = E|N\rangle$$

can be solved in the two particle ($N = 2$) sector. Then one writes

$$|2\rangle = \int d^2x_1 d^2x_2 \psi^+(x_1)\psi^+(x_2)f(x_1, x_2)|0\rangle$$

and finds in the center-of-mass frame that $f(x_1, x_2)$ reduces to $f_\ell(r)e^{i\ell\phi}$ (where r and ϕ are the polar coordinates of $\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2$). Significantly, $f_\ell(r)$ satisfies the equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} r \frac{d}{dr} + mE - (\ell + g^2/2\pi)^2 / r^2 \right] f_\ell(r) = 0$$

which has a strictly repulsive $1/r^2$ effective potential. With the identification $g^2/\pi = \alpha_{in}$ this reproduces the Schrödinger equation of ref. 1 in the $\theta_{in} \rightarrow -\infty$ limit except for the omission in the latter of the repulsive $(g/2\pi r)^2$ term. It is to be emphasized that the present approach fully realizes the ideal of ref. 1 of a purely field theoretical formulation. This is only partially realized in ref. 1 where it is necessary to make a crucial junction between a perturbative field theory calculation and the Schrödinger equation. The result obtained here establishes what would have been anticipated merely on the grounds of gauge invariance – namely, that the quadratic term cannot be neglected. Thus it is not possible to obtain in a consistent way the long range attractive potential claimed in refs. 1–3 and the numerical results presented there cannot be expected to be relevant to the high T_c problem.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported in part by the Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-91ER40685.

References

1. H. O. Girotti, M. Gomes, J. L. de Lyra, R. S. Mendes, J. R. S. Nascimento, and A. J. da Silva, Phys. Rev. Lett **69**, 2623 (1992).
2. H. O. Girotti, M. Gomes, and A. J. da Silva, Phys. Lett. **B274**, 357 (1992).
3. H. O. Girotti, M. Gomes, J. L. de Lyra, R. S. Mendes, J. R. S. Nascimento, and A. J. da Silva, Sept. 1992 preprint.
4. C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 848 (1985).
5. C. R. Hagen, Int. Jnl. Mod. Phys. A **6**, 3119 (1991).